

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,758	02/09/2004	Matthew P. Crowley	10519-114	1195
	7590 02/22/2007 R GILSON & LIONE/S	an Disk	EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 10395			BRITT, CYNTHIA H	
CHICAGO, IL 60610		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2138	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DA	AYS	02/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/774,758	CROWLEY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cynthia Britt	2138
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
Period for Reply	•	·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 281	November 2006	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		rosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner .	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 November 2006</u> is/		cted to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	***	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	ate have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	, ,	•
application from the International Burea	•	ved III tills National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	red
	icor and defanica depice her recent	
•		
Attachment(s)	🗆	(DTO 412)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-28 are pending in the present application. Claims 29-30 have been cancelled.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, and 21 drawn to a monolithic three dimensional memory requiring a Vread generator, write controller a fail memory and ECC, classified in class 714, subclass 723.
- II. Claim 12, drawn to a monolithic three dimensional memory requiring a smart write controller and an oscillator, classified in class 365, subclass 51.
- III. Claims 13-15, drawn to a monolithic three dimensional memory requiring a smart write controller having bidirectional connections, classified in class 365, subclass 81.
- IV. Claims 16-18, drawn to a monolithic three dimensional memory requiring checkerboard memory arrays, classified in class 365, subclass 129.
- V. Claim 19 and 22, drawn to a monolithic three dimensional memory requiring error correction and redundancy, classified in class 365, subclass 185.09.

1

Art Unit: 2138

- VI. Claim 20, drawn to a monolithic three dimensional memory requiring error correction and checkerboard memory array, classified in class 365, subclass 130.
- VII. Claim 23, drawn to a monolithic three-dimensional memory requiring a Vread generator with distributed output, classified in class 365, subclass 185.01.
- VIII. Claim 24, drawn to a monolithic three-dimensional memory requiring smart write plus dummy bit lines, classified in class 365, subclass 185.2.
- IX. Claims 25-26, drawn to a monolithic three-dimensional memory requiring page registers with distributed data, classified in class 365, subclass 238.5.
- X. Claims 26-27, drawn to a monolithic three-dimensional memory requiring a die organization having two control transistors per memory line, row decoders and bias circuitry, classified in class 365, subclass 189.09.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions groups I-X are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed do not require the specific elements required within the other

Application/Control Number: 10/774,758

Art Unit: 2138

groups. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Inventions Group I through Group X are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Each of the above listed groups require only specific elements in specified combinations which are not required (although may be present), within the claim language of the other groups. The subcombination has separate utility such as each memory element as claimed can be used without the required elements of the other groups.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,758

Art Unit: 2138

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 2138

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Britt whose telephone number is 571-272-3815.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,758

Art Unit: 2138

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cynthia Britt 1/2
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2138

Page 7